

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,078	01/26/2004	Charles L. Vance	VAN101	8251
. 7590 03/21/2005		EXAMINER		
John E. Vandigriff			MENDIRATTA, VISHU K	
Suite 200 190 N. Stemmo	ns Frwy		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Lewisville, TX 75067			3711	
		DATE MAILED: 03/21/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_	• •
4	1.1

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/764,078	VANCE, CHARLES L.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vishu K Mendiratta	3711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 De	ecember 2004.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the order of the	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/764,078

Art Unit: 3711

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 1-17 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Keener (5513848) in view of Jones (4003578).

Keener teaches a board game path with squares (2,6,7,8,9), lure cards (3) with location, fish specific lure cards (4) with location, game pieces (Fig.2), tackle shop and boat ramp (6), parking lot (5) and dice (Fig.3). Keener further teaches a space defining losing a lure due to a fish (1: 29-36).

Keener teaches all limitations except that it does not expressly teach multiple paths.

Keener does teach that the path can be any shape or configuration keeping the game within spirit and scope (2:19-22).

Jones teaches a game surface with entire surface /grid as fishing area and can be treated as multiple paths (Fig.1) for the convenience if a large group of players are playing the game.

In order to make the game surface available for a large number of players, it would have been obvious to use multiple paths.

One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested providing multiple paths for a larger group of players.

Note: rules for playing as in claims 7,14,15,16 do not further limit the apparatus in the claims.

Claims 2,9: Keener teaches all limitations except that it does not expressly indicate a space defining a weight of fish and a lost lure. Keener however inherently teaches a fish

that is big enough to cause a player loose its rod (reference character 9) (obviously with the lure) indicates a fish having certain weight that must have been overwhelming and not suitable for that kind of fish.

One of ordinary skill in art at the time the invention was made would have suggested relating a fish weight to the lure that would be lost if used.

## Response to Arguments

- 2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-17 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 3. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3711

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vishu K Mendiratta whose telephone number is (571) 272-4426. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8AM to 5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Vidovich can be reached on (571) 272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vishu K Mendiratta Primary Examiner Art Unit 3711

VKM March 14, 2005